

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	09/448,420 SEUL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Padmashri Ponnaluri	<b>Art Unit</b> 1639

**All Participants:**

(1) Padmashri Ponnaluri.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Applicants attorney Eric Mirabel.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 February 2004

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

### Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

173-174

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

### Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

### Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
Padmashri Ponnaluri  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:  
Examiner has called applicants attorney to inform that claims 173-174 are allowable over prior art and the claims are allowable if rewritten as independent claims to recite all the limitations of the base claims and intervening claims.  
Applicants attorney has informed that they would prefer the Examiner's answer to in response to the Appeal Brief filed on 1/04, not the allowance of claims 173-174.